

QUALITY OF ANTIMICROBIAL PRESCRIBING AT CHRISTCHURCH HOSPITAL

A point prevalence survey in adult inpatients 2020 (PART 1 of 2)

- Antimicrobials are a precious resource that are losing effectiveness (see our [bulletin](#)).
- ‘Snapshot’ audits on the quality of antimicrobial use can inform AMS programs and track their effectiveness.
- In November 2020, we conducted a point prevalence survey on the quality of antimicrobial prescribing in adult inpatients at Christchurch Hospital. This was a repeat of work undertaken in 2017.
- This is the first of two bulletins summarising our performance against six quality markers for AMS:

Bulletin 1 (September 2021 #033): 1) guideline compliance, and 2) appropriateness of prescribing.

Bulletin 2 (October 2021 #034): 3) antimicrobial restrictions, 4) indication documented, 5) review/stop date documented, and 6) surgical prophylaxis ceasing within 24 hours post-operatively.

DEMOGRAPHICS, ANTIMICROBIALS AND INDICATIONS

- The 510 inpatients (52% male) present on the audit day had a median (range) age of 75 (17-96) years (~6% Māori, ~1% Pacifica).
- 256/510 inpatients (50%) were prescribed antimicrobials (1-4 agents per person, total 387 prescriptions)
- The route of administration was mainly IV (50%), oral (42%) or topical (7%). The top five agents (amoxicillin+clavulanic acid, cefazolin, metronidazole, cefuroxime and nystatin) and top five indications (intra-abdominal infection, surgical prophylaxis, community-acquired pneumonia, oral candidiasis and sepsis) accounted for 50% of all prescriptions.



Our 2020 auditors

Rear: Mark Birch, Steve Chambers, Ashleigh Kortegast, Cate McCall, Simon Dalton, Andy Mothershaw.

Front: Sharon Gardiner, Giselle Dousti, Abbey Evison, Sasha Vohlidkova.

Not shown: Sarah Metcalf, Matt Doogue, Paul Chin, Michael Harrington, Mike Maze, Allan Edwards, Mary Young, Judy Dalrymple, Michelle Casey

- The method was adapted from the Australian [National Antimicrobial Prescribing Survey](#) tool, which is used by a number of DHBs.
- We chose to use the ‘gold standard’ approach, which involved around 80 auditing hours by 10 multidisciplinary teams of two.
- We thank our 20 auditors (above), and the Infection Management, Pharmacy, Clinical Pharmacology, Microbiology and Respiratory services for their support.
- Our earlier 2017 and 2018 audits at Christchurch Hospital campus, Burwood Hospital and Ashburton Hospital are published [here](#).

QUALITY MARKERS

GUIDELINES COMPLIANCE



81% in 2020

203/251 prescriptions

vs

74% in 2017

165/224 prescriptions

- Our increase in guidelines compliance in 2020 (81%) compared with 2017 (74%) is great ($p=0.06$!).
- Of the 48 non-compliant prescriptions, most were for respiratory tract infections (20, community- or hospital acquired pneumonia, or infective exacerbation of COPD), prophylaxis (7, surgical or medical), and wound infections (6).

APPROPRIATENESS



83% in 2020

296/355 prescriptions

vs

84% in 2017

278/331 prescriptions

- The appropriateness assessment included evaluating choice of agent and regimen against guidelines and clinical parameters (e.g. penicillin allergy, renal function, microbiology).
- Most prescriptions were assessed as appropriate in 2020 (83%) and in 2017 (84%), but there is room for improvement ($p=0.8$).
- There were 128 reasons for 59 inappropriate prescriptions. The most common were incorrect duration (30 prescriptions), spectrum too broad (26), incorrect dose/frequency (23), no need for antimicrobial therapy (20) and surgical prophylaxis continuing for more than 24 hours post-operatively (11).

HOW CAN YOU IMPROVE ANTIMICROBIAL PRESCRIBING?

- **Improve your understanding of CDHB expectations for responsible antimicrobial use.** Review our [AMS Policy](#), which applies to all health workers involved with antimicrobial use.
- **Follow guidelines (when appropriate)** in [The Pink Book](#), [Hospital HealthPathways](#) or [Community HealthPathways](#). Departmental guidance (e.g. [The Red Book](#)) is acceptable if supported by the AMS Committee. If an alternative regimen is justified clinically, document the reason in the clinical record.
- **Seek advice** from Infection Management (formerly Infectious Diseases), Microbiology, Pharmacy or Clinical Pharmacology services as appropriate.
- **Clearly communicate the indication and review/stop date in the prescription** to fellow health providers.